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## Introduction

## Main contributions

- Novel approach to simultaneously extract a short-term cycle and a mediumterm cycle from a panel of macroeconomic and financial time series
- and simultaneously estimate co-cyclicality of cycles
- and simultaneously mix time-series with monthly and quarterly frequencies


## Motivation

- Several papers document existence of medium-term macroeconomic cycles (e.g. Comin and Gertler, 2006 and Correa-López and de Blas, 2012);
- Since the Global Financial Crisis, the policy debate has increasingly paid attention to the concept of the financial cycle. (e.g. Borio, 2014 and Drehman et al., 2012)
- There has also been a fast growing literature exploring ways to estimate financial cycles and analyzing their properties.


## Conclusion

(1) We find strong evidence for the existence of a separate short-term cycle and medium-term cycle in macroeconomic and financial variables in industrialized countries
(2) Co-movement between macroeconomic and financial variables limited to the medium-term
(0) Strong concordance between the medium-term cycles of house prices and GDP. much less between credit and GDP

- bulk of the estimated movements driven by domestic rather than global factors (see paper)


## Presentation Outline

(1) Estimation method
(2) Outcomes
(3) Conclusion

## Unobserved Component (UC) models

- We apply the Kalman filter-smoother to an unobserved components time series model to extract multiple cycles, see Harvey (1989) and Durbin and Koopman (2012) for an overview.
- This approach has been applied to business cycle analysis, extracting one cycle, see e.g. Valle e Azevedo et al., 2006; Creal et al., 2010.
- Koopman and Lucas (2005) is one of the few papers extracting two cycles. They extract cycles from asset prices in the Unites States.


## Advantages of UC models and the Kalman filter

- Estimating an unobserved components model allows for simultaneous extraction of trend, short-term cycle, medium-term cycle and error term via the Kalman filter/smoother algorithm.
- Since the Kalman filter/smoother is based on a model, researchers have the possibility to use diagnostics to estimate the fit and validity of this model and hence the accuracy of their estimates.
- The cycle frequency is also estimated instead of predetermined as in non-parametric filters and turning point methods. This feature is especially convenient for estimating financial cycles, since there is no broad consensus yet on their characteristics.


## Multivariate Unobserved Component Model Framework

Model specification as in Koopman and Lucas (2005):

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{t}=\mu_{t}+A \gamma_{t}+B \psi_{t}+\varepsilon_{t}, \quad \varepsilon_{t} \stackrel{i . i . d .}{\sim} \mathcal{N}\left(0, \Sigma_{\varepsilon}\right), \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$y_{t}$ : time series in a panel with length $N, \mu_{t}$ : long-term trend, $\gamma_{t}$ : short-term cycle, $\psi_{t}$ : medium-term cycle, $\varepsilon_{t}$ : noise.

Unobserved components $\mu_{t}, \gamma_{t}$ and $\psi_{t}$ are assumed to represent unique dynamic processes and are independent of each other.

Covariances between the disturbances are non-zero. The loading matrices $A$ and $B$ reveal whether there is co-cyclicality between the time series in the panel. Trend is modeled as an integrated random walk process:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mu_{t+1}=\mu_{t}+\beta_{t}, \\
\beta_{t+1}=\beta_{t}+\zeta_{t}, \quad \zeta_{t} \stackrel{i . i . d .}{\sim} \mathcal{N}\left(0, \Sigma_{\zeta}\right), \tag{2}
\end{gather*}
$$

## Modeling the cycles

The short-term cycle $\left(\gamma_{t}\right)$ and the medium-term cycle $\left(\psi_{t}\right)$ are specified as a restricted trigonometric processes as proposed by Harvey(1989). Consider $\psi_{t}$, i.e.:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\binom{\psi_{t+1}}{\psi_{t+1}^{*}}=\phi_{\psi}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\cos \lambda_{\psi} & \sin \lambda_{\psi} \\
-\sin \lambda_{\psi} & \cos \lambda_{\psi}
\end{array}\right]\binom{\psi_{t}}{\psi_{t}^{*}}+\binom{\omega_{t}}{\omega_{t}^{*}}, \\
\binom{\omega_{t}}{\omega_{t}^{*}} \stackrel{i . i . d .}{\sim} \mathcal{N}\left(0, \sigma_{i, \omega}^{2}\right), \tag{3}
\end{gather*}
$$

where, $\psi_{t}=$ (medium-term) cycle, $\psi_{t}^{*}=$ 'first derivative' of the (medium-term) cycle, $\lambda_{\psi}=$ cycle frequency, $\phi_{\psi}=$ persistence parameter $\left(0<\phi_{\psi}<1\right)$, $\omega_{t}=$ disturbance term. The length of $\psi_{t}$ is given by $p=2 \pi / \lambda_{\psi}$.

For identification of the cycle disturbance variances, $A$ and $B$ in Eq.(1) are restricted to be lower triangular matrices with unity as diagonal elements.

## Empirical set-up

We apply the multivariate UC model to extract trends and cycles from the following variables (all in real terms):

- Gross domestic product (GDP)
- House prices (HP)
- Bank credit to private sector (CRED)
- Industrial production index (IP)

Countries analyzed:

- We consider the G7-countries (US, UK, JA, CA, DE, FR, IT) and NL

Period of analysis

- 1970Q1-2015Q1 for GDP, HP \& CRED;
- 1970M1-2015M12 for IP.


## Why two cycles in one model framework?



All US series are deflated, seasonally adjusted and in natural logs.

## Why two cycles in one model framework?



Estimated spectral densities (US data), series are in log-differences, $0.25 \pi$ translates into a cycle with period of $\frac{2 \pi}{0.25 \pi}=8$ quarters ( 2 years). $0.50 \pi$ translates into a cycle with period of $\frac{2 \pi}{0.25 \pi}=4$ quarters (1 year).

## Why two cycles in one model framework?



Estimated spectral densities (US data), series are in log-differences.
The first peak in the spectral density of GDP is estimated at approximately $0.02 \pi$, which translates into a cycle with period of $\frac{2 \pi}{0.02 \pi}=100$ quarters, or 25 years.

## Why two cycles in one model framework?



Estimated spectral densities (US data), series are in log-differences.
The second peak is at $0.08 \pi$, which translates to a period of 25 quarters, or $6 \frac{1}{4}$ year. Seems to be related to the business cycle frequency.

## Why two cycles in one model framework?



Estimated spectral densities (US data), series are in log-differences.
The third and fourth peak occur at $0.13 \pi$ ( 15 quarters; 3.8 years) and $0.19 \pi$ ( 10 quarters; 2.6 years). Most business cycle frequencies have period of $6 \frac{1}{4}$ years.

## Why two cycles in one model framework?



Estimated spectral densities (US data), series are in log-differences.
There are some local peaks in the spectral density above approximately $0.25 \pi$ (or 2 years). For our study these fluctuations are not so much of interest (noise).

## Why two cycles in one model framework?



Estimated spectral densities (US data), series are in log-differences.
Spec. dens. IP very similar to the spec. dens. of GDP. Small peak at cycle-length of $\pm 25$ years, and peaks at cycles of approximately 6,4 and 3 years.

## Why two cycles in one model framework?



Estimated spectral densities (US data), series are in log-differences.
Spec. dens. HP and CRED quite different from GDP and IP. Former show large peaks at cycle-length of approximately 13 years. Not much cycl. movement at higher freq's.

## Why two cycles in one model framework?



Estimated spectral densities (US data), series are in log-differences.

## Why two cycles in one model framework?

- Besides spectral densities we perform statistical likelihood ratio (LR) test, following Rünstler and Vlekke (2018) and Galati et al. (2016).
- We conclude that in almost all countries and variables our four variables have two cycles (exceptions: GDP in NL and IP in UK)
- Our main conjecture from analyzing the spectral densities and formal testing:
- medium-term frequencies are dominant in the house price \& credit volume variables
- short-term fluctuations are dominant for GDP \& industrial production


## Model applied to our dataset

Our model once more:

$$
\begin{aligned}
y_{t} & =\left[\begin{array}{l}
y_{t}^{\mathrm{GDP}} \\
y_{t}^{\mathrm{HP}} \\
y_{c}^{\text {tRED }} \\
y_{t}^{\mathrm{IP}}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
\text { real GDP (GDP) } \\
\text { real House Price (HP) } \\
\text { real Credit Volume (CRED) } \\
\text { real Industrial Production (IP) }
\end{array}\right], \\
& =\mu_{t}+A \gamma_{t}+B \psi_{t}+\varepsilon_{t}, \quad \varepsilon_{t} \stackrel{i . i . d .}{\sim} \mathcal{N}\left(0, \Sigma_{\varepsilon}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

for $t=1, \ldots, T$, with $\mu_{t}$ is the trend, $\gamma_{t}$ is the short-term cycle and $\psi_{t}$ is the medium-term cycle component. The model is cast in state space form and estimated using the maximum likelihood method.

## Graphical representation outcomes for the United States



Estimated trend $\left(\mu_{t}\right)$, short-term cycle $\left(\gamma_{t}\right)$ and medium-term cycle $\left(\psi_{t}\right)$ for (US data) GDP, HP, CRED and IP. Series are in logs.

## Properties and commonality of the short-term cycle $\lambda_{\gamma}$

Parameter estimates of multivariate UCTSM for short-term cycle

| US | UK | JA | CA | DE | FR | IT | NL |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Properties cycles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $p_{\gamma}$ | 5.4 | 6.7 | 3.3 | 7.1 | 4.5 | 3.6 | 3.8 |
| $\phi_{\gamma}$ | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.98 | 0.99 | 0.97 | 0.98 | 0.97 |

Loading matrix A
vs. GDP // 1st column loading matrices

| HP | -0.02 | 1.79 | 0.48 | -0.14 | -0.09 | 0.52 | -0.38 | -0.06 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CRED | 0.25 | 0.20 | 0.01 | $0.94^{*}$ | 0.07 | 0.08 | 0.33 | $0.88^{*}$ |
| IP | $1.82^{* * *}$ | $1.11^{* *}$ | $3.26^{* * *}$ | $1.97^{* * *}$ | $2.82^{* * *}$ | $3.14^{* * *}$ | $2.54^{* * *}$ | $1.45^{* *}$ |

vs. HP // 2nd column loading matrices

| CRED | 1.26 | 0.30 | -0.04 | 0.25 | -0.57 | -0.13 | 0.00 | -0.16 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| IP | 1.51 | 0.11 | 0.43 | 0.02 | 0.34 | 0.86 | -0.10 | 0.03 |

vs. CRED // 3nd column loading matrices

| IP | -0.07 | 0.27 | -1.99 | -0.19 | -0.46 | 2.31 | 1.81 | 0.53 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

The table reports the estimates of persistence $\phi_{\gamma}$ and the period $p_{\gamma}$ in years ( $p=2 \pi / \lambda$ ), respectively Significant estimates in these matrices are highlighted in grey. ${ }^{*},{ }^{* *}$ and ${ }^{* * *}$ denote statistical significance at the $10 \%, 5 \%$ and $1 \%$ level, respectively.

## Properties and commonality of the medium-term cycle $\lambda_{\psi}$

Parameter estimates of multivariate UCTSM for medium-term cycle

|  | US | UK | JA | CA | DE | FR | IT |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| NL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Properties cycles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $p_{\psi}$ | 13.6 | 18.4 | 9.2 | 22.3 | 9.3 | 16.2 | 14.7 |
| $\phi_{\psi}$ | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 |

Loading matrix B
vs. GDP // 1st column loading matrices

| HP | $2.77^{* * *}$ | 1.19 | 1.45 | 8.43 | 1.80 | 1.54 | 19.58 | 3.56 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CRED | 4.48 | 0.80 | 1.73 | -1.98 | 1.10 | 1.58 | 2.78 | 0.45 |
| IP | 1.91 | 2.42 | 2.70 | 3.17 | 1.69 | 2.66 | 2.32 | 1.71 |

vs. HP // 2nd column loading matrices

| CRED | -0.25 | 0.16 | 0.42 | 1.28 | 6.56 | -0.07 | 2.90 | 0.69 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| IP | -0.19 | -0.36 | -0.26 | -0.80 | -12.64 | -0.17 | -0.83 | -0.48 |

vs. CRED // 3nd column loading matrices

| IP | -0.58 | -0.03 | 0.06 | 0.24 | 0.29 | -0.41 | 2.32 | 2.62 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

The table reports the estimates of persistence $\phi_{\psi}$ and the period $p_{\psi}$ in years ( $p=2 \pi / \lambda$ ), respectively Significant estimates in these matrices are highlighted in grey. ${ }^{*},{ }^{* *}$ and ${ }^{* * *}$ denote statistical significance at the $10 \%, 5 \%$ and $1 \%$ level, respectively.

## Conclusion

(1) We find strong evidence for the existence of a separate short-term cycle and medium-term cycle in macroeconomic and financial variables in industrialized countries
(2) We present an elegant model to simultaneously extract these cycles and estimate their co-cyclicality
(0) Co-movement between macroeconomic and financial variables limited to the medium-term
(- Strong concordance between the medium-term cycles of house prices and GDP. much less between credit and GDP

## Thank you for your attention!

J.M.de.Winter@dnb.nl

## Extra Slides

## Details of modeling the cycles (1/2)

Remember from Calculus:

- A deterministic cycle with amplitude a and frequency $\lambda_{\psi}$ can be written as:

$$
\psi_{t}=a \cos \left(\lambda_{\psi} t-b\right) \quad a, b, \lambda_{\psi}, t \in \mathbb{R} \quad a \neq 0, \lambda_{\psi} \neq 0
$$

- The first partial derivative of $\psi_{t}$ with respect to $\lambda_{\psi} t$ equals:

$$
\psi_{t}^{*}=-a \sin \left(\lambda_{\psi} t-b\right)
$$

- The trigonometric identities:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\cos (x \pm y) & =\cos x \cos y \pm \sin x \sin y \\
\sin (x \pm y) & =\cos x \sin y \pm \sin x \cos y
\end{aligned}
$$

## Details of modeling the cycles (2/2)

Using the formula's for the first partial derivative and the first trigonometric identity with $x=\lambda_{\psi} t-b$ and $y=\lambda_{\psi}$ it follows that,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \psi_{t+1}=a \cos \left(\lambda_{\psi}(t+1)-b\right) \\
& \psi_{t+1}=a \cos \left(\lambda_{\psi} t-b+\lambda_{\psi}\right) \\
& \psi_{t+1}=a \cos \left(\lambda_{\psi} t-b\right) \cos \lambda_{\psi}-a \sin \left(\lambda_{\psi} t-b\right) \sin \lambda_{\psi} \\
& \psi_{t+1}=\psi_{t} \cos \lambda_{\psi}+\psi_{t}^{*} \sin \lambda_{\psi} \\
& \psi_{t+1}=\cos \lambda_{\psi} \psi_{t}+\sin \lambda_{\psi} \psi_{t}^{*}
\end{aligned}
$$

Or,

$$
\binom{\psi_{t+1}}{\psi_{t+1}^{*}}=\phi_{\psi}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\cos \lambda_{\psi} & \sin \lambda_{\psi} \\
-\sin \lambda_{\psi} & \cos \lambda_{\psi}
\end{array}\right]\binom{\psi_{t}}{\psi_{t}^{*}}+\binom{\omega_{t}}{\omega_{t}^{*}},
$$

Similarly, using the formula's for the first partial derivative and the second trigonometric identity it can be shown that $\psi_{t+1}^{*}$ can be written as:
$-\sin \lambda_{\psi} \psi_{t}+\cos \lambda_{\psi} \psi_{t}^{*}$

## State Space Form

The multivariate components model equations (1) - (4) can be cast into the state space form. The measurement and transition equations are defined as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
y_{t} & =Z \alpha_{t}+\varepsilon_{t}, & & \varepsilon_{t} \sim N(0, H), \\
\alpha_{t+1} & =T \alpha_{t}+\nu_{t}, & & \nu_{t} \sim N(0, Q),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $y_{t}=\left(y_{1, t}, \ldots, y_{N, t}\right)^{\prime}, \varepsilon_{t}=\left(\varepsilon_{1, t}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{N, t}\right)^{\prime}$, and $H=\operatorname{diag}\left(\sigma_{\varepsilon_{1}}^{2}, \ldots, \sigma_{\varepsilon_{N}}^{2}\right)$. The state vector $\alpha_{t}$ is given by the $(6 N \times 1)$ vector

$$
\alpha_{t}=\left(\mu_{t}, \beta_{t}, \gamma_{t}, \gamma_{t}^{*}, \psi_{t}, \psi_{t}^{*}\right)^{\prime}
$$

where $\mu_{t}=\left(\mu_{1, t}, \ldots, \mu_{N, t}\right)^{\prime}$ is the long-term trend, $\beta_{t}=\left(\beta_{1, t}, \ldots, \beta_{N, t}\right)^{\prime}$ is the slope, $\left(\gamma_{t}, \gamma_{t}^{*}\right)^{\prime}=\left(\gamma_{1, t}, \ldots, \gamma_{N, t}, \gamma_{1, t}^{*}, \ldots, \gamma_{N, t}^{*}\right)^{\prime}$ is the short-term cycle, and $\left(\psi_{t}, \psi_{t}^{*}\right)^{\prime}=\left(\psi_{1, t}, \ldots, \psi_{N, t}, \psi_{1, t}^{*}, \ldots, \psi_{N, t}^{*}\right)^{\prime}$ is the medium-term cycle.

## State Space Form

The measurement-transition $Z$ matrix is given by

$$
Z=\left[\begin{array}{llllll}
I_{N} & 0_{N \times N} & A & 0_{N \times N} & B & 0_{N \times N}
\end{array}\right],
$$

with $A$ and $B$ are $(N \times N)$ lower triangular matrices with ones on the diagonal. The state-transition matrix $T$ is given by

$$
T=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
I_{N} & I_{N} & 0_{N \times 2 N} & 0_{N \times 2 N} \\
0_{N \times N} & I_{N} & 0_{N \times 2 N} & 0_{N \times 2 N} \\
0_{2 N \times N} & 0_{2 N \times N} & S & 0_{2 N \times 2 N} \\
0_{2 N \times N} & 0_{2 N \times N} & 0_{2 N \times 2 N} & L
\end{array}\right],
$$

with $S$ and $L$ are $(2 N \times 2 N)$ matrices defined as:

$$
S=\phi_{\gamma}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\cos \lambda_{\gamma} I_{N} & \sin \lambda_{\gamma} I_{N} \\
-\sin \lambda_{\gamma} I_{N} & \cos \lambda_{\gamma} I_{N}
\end{array}\right], \quad L=\phi_{\psi}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\cos \lambda_{\psi} I_{N} & \sin \lambda_{\psi} I_{N} \\
-\sin \lambda_{\psi} I_{N} & \cos \lambda_{\psi} I_{N}
\end{array}\right] .
$$

## State Space Form

The state-disturbance vector $\nu_{t}$ is given by

$$
\nu_{t}=\left(0_{N \times 1}, \zeta_{t}, \kappa_{t}, \kappa_{t}^{*}, \omega_{t}, \omega_{t}^{*}\right)^{\prime},
$$

where $\zeta_{t}=\left(\zeta_{1, t}, \ldots, \zeta_{N, t}\right)^{\prime}$ are the slope-disturbances, $\left(\kappa_{t}, \kappa_{t}^{*}\right)^{\prime}=\left(\kappa_{1, t}, \ldots, \kappa_{N, t}, \kappa_{1, t}^{*}, \ldots, \kappa_{N, t}^{*},\right)^{\prime}$ are the short-term cycle disturbances, and $\left(\omega_{t}, \omega_{t}^{*}\right)^{\prime}=\left(\omega_{1, t}, \ldots, \omega_{N, t}, \omega_{1, t}^{*}, \ldots, \omega_{N, t}^{*},\right)^{\prime}$ are the medium-term cycle disturbances.

Lastly, the $(6 N \times 6 N)$ disturbance matrix $Q$ in the transition equation is defined as:

$$
Q=\operatorname{diag}\left[\begin{array}{llll}
0_{N \times N} & \Sigma_{\zeta} & I_{2} \otimes \Sigma_{\kappa} & I_{2} \otimes \Sigma_{\omega}
\end{array}\right],
$$

where $\Sigma_{\zeta}$ is the variance matrix of the slope-disturbances, $\Sigma_{\kappa}$ is the variance matrix of the short-term cycle disturbances, and $\Sigma_{\omega}$ is the variance matrix of the medium-term cycle disturbances. $\Sigma_{\zeta}$ is restricted to be diagonal, i.e.
$\Sigma_{\zeta}=\operatorname{diag}\left(\sigma_{\zeta_{1}}^{2}, \ldots, \sigma_{\zeta_{N}}^{2}\right)^{\prime}$ and the signal-to-noise ratio $\left(\sigma_{\zeta_{i}}^{2} / \sigma_{\varepsilon_{i}}^{2}\right)$ is fixed for each $i=1, \ldots, N$.

## Why two cycles in one model framework



All UK series are deflated, seasonally adjusted and in natural logs.

## Why two cycles in one model framework



All JA series are deflated, seasonally adjusted and in natural logs.

## Why two cycles in one model framework



All CA series are deflated, seasonally adjusted and in natural logs.

## Why two cycles in one model framework



All DE series are deflated, seasonally adjusted and in natural logs.

## Why two cycles in one model framework



All FR series are deflated, seasonally adjusted and in natural logs.

## Why two cycles in one model framework



All IT series are deflated, seasonally adjusted and in natural logs.

## Why two cycles in one model framework



All NL series are deflated, seasonally adjusted and in natural logs.

## Why two cycles in one model framework



Estimated spectral densities (UK data), series are in log-differences.

## Why two cycles in one model framework



Estimated spectral densities (JA data), series are in log-differences.

## Why two cycles in one model framework



Estimated spectral densities (CA data), series are in log-differences.

## Why two cycles in one model framework



Estimated spectral densities (DE data), series are in log-differences.

## Why two cycles in one model framework



Estimated spectral densities (FR data), series are in log-differences.

## Why two cycles in one model framework



Estimated spectral densities (IT data), series are in log-differences.

## Why two cycles in one model framework



Estimated spectral densities (NL data), series are in log-differences.

## Graphical representation outcomes for the United Kingdom













## Graphical representation outcomes for Japan














Estimated trend $\left(\mu_{t}\right)$, short-term cycle $\left(\gamma_{t}\right)$ and medium-term cycle $\left(\psi_{t}\right)$ for (JA data) GDP, HP, CRED and IP. Series are in logs.

## Graphical representation outcomes for Canada














## Graphical representation outcomes for Germany



## Graphical representation outcomes for France














## Graphical representation outcomes for Italy










Estimated trend $\left(\mu_{t}\right)$, short-term cycle $\left(\gamma_{t}\right)$ and medium-term cycle $\left(\psi_{t}\right)$ for (IT data) GDP, HP, CRED and IP. Series are in logs.

## Graphical representation outcomes for the Netherlands














